

May 25, 2006

TO: Transportation Authority of Marin Commissioners

FROM: Dianne Steinhauser, Executive Director

RE: State and Federal Legislation, Agenda Item 13

Dear Commissioners:

Executive Summary: TAM staff has developed a legislative program to guide staff in the review and comment on legislation that is being considered by the federal and state governments. This program was reviewed by the Executive Committee and is recommended to TAM for approval.

Recommendation: That TAM approve the Legislative Program as outlined in the staff report.

At the March 30, 2006 TAM meeting, the Commission adopted Infrastructure Investment Principles to guide staff in the review of different proposals provided by the Administration or Legislature for state bonds.

Following are recommendations for TAM's legislative program for 2006:

2006 FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

Highway 101 "Marin-Sonoma Narrows" Project. Work with regional partners, the state and the federal government to seek additional funding for the "Marin-Sonoma Narrows" Project, which would alleviate congestion on the U.S. 101 corridor in Marin and Sonoma Counties from Highway 37 in Novato to Old Redwood Highway in Petaluma. This project is the final of seven phases for the widening and improvement of U.S. 101 in Marin and Sonoma counties.

The federal "Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act of 2005" (SAFETEA-LU) authorized \$27 million for the Marin-Sonoma Narrows project, as well as an additional \$900,000 for bicycle and pedestrian improvements associated with the project, through FY 2009. Significantly more funding is necessary. Work to secure federal annual appropriations to implement this project.

2006 STATE LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

Infrastructure Bond Acts. Support Legislature or Administration-initiated infrastructure bond acts, such as S.B. 1266 (Perata; 2006), the "Highway Safety, traffic reduction, Air

Quality, and port Security bond Act of 2006", a \$19.925 billion transportation and infrastructure funding measure proposed for the November 7, 2006 state ballot. Monitor process and advocate for inclusion of Marin County projects where appropriate, including flood control and levee protection/rehabilitation projects.

Authorize Local Vehicle Registration Fees. Continue to support legislation which would authorize local governments, including Marin County, to levy an additional fee on the annual registration of motor vehicles in the county to fund the construction, improvement and maintenance of local streets and highways, as well as congestion management and pollution prevention programs. Authorization would provide self-help counties like Marin greater opportunity to compete for regional, state, and federal grants by providing additional matching funds.

In 2005, A.B. 1623 (Klehs) would have authorized a congestion management and environmental mitigation fee, raising an estimated \$1.25 million per year in Marin County. S.B. 658 (Kuehl) would have authorized a coastal environment motor vehicle mitigation program. Both were vetoed by the Governor in October, 2005. Current bills in the legislature are AB 2444 (Klehs) and SB 1611 (Simitian).

Planning, Programming and Monitoring (PPM) funds. Support efforts to enhance the availability, predictability and equity of PPM funds to local county transportation agencies, including the Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM). PPM funds can be used to develop planning studies and project initiation documents for new State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) projects; for the efforts required to program projects in the STIP; and for monitoring projects once they are underway. Currently, local Measure A funds are being used by TAM for this purpose on the "San Rafael Gap Closure" project because PPM funds at \$24,000 annually are insufficient, with no funding available for supporting the "Marin/Sonoma Narrows" and future projects. A current bill in the legislature is AB 2538 (Wolk), which will increase the allowable PPM levels to 5% from the 1% of STIP County Share currently allowed.

Highway 101 "San Rafael Gap Closure" Project. Continue to work with state officials, the Transportation Authority of Marin, and other transportation groups to secure long-term funding commitments, or reimbursement/cost sharing of local expenses, for continuing phases of the San Rafael Gap Closure Project. The 4.5 mile-long Highway 101 widening project between Lucky Drive and North San Pedro Road will allow for a continuous carpool lane through Central Marin, as well as a north-south bicycle way through Puerto Suello Hill to improve bicycle safety. Measure A, approved by Marin County voters in 2004, is anticipated to fully fund and accelerate completion of this critical project, among other projects.

Protect funding in the Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP) and Proposition 42. Support efforts to protect the Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP), which in 2000 brought \$1.7 billion to the Bay Area for local roads and transit projects. The six-year funding program resulted from dedicating the sales tax on gasoline to transportation purposes through FY 2007-08.

While the FY 2005-06 State Budget fully funded Proposition 42, these funds in previous years were 'loaned' to the state general fund. Marin County should continue to ensure that no additional delays are imposed on the program, and that loans made from Proposition 42 funds are fully repaid with interest to local governments.

Innovative procurement techniques such as design-build. Support efforts to provide additional tools for agencies to use to deliver projects to reduce costs of reduce the amount of time needed to implement projects. SB 1431 (Cox) would allow agencies to use design-build contracting, rather than design-bid-build, where they determine it would be better approach to implementing a project.

National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) delegation. Support efforts to implement NEPA delegation. SAFETEA-LU, the recent federal transportation act, established the Surface Transportation Project Delivery Pilot Program, where California is designated as one of five state that are eligible to apply to participate in a pilot program that delegates to the state the responsibilities of the Federal Highway Administration under NEPA. SB 1812 (Runner) would permit Caltrans to participate in the pilot program.

Recommendation: That TAM approve the Legislative Program as outlined in the staff report.

Attachments: AB 2444

SB 1611 AB 2538 SB 1431 SB 1812

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 3, 2006 AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 6, 2006

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2005–06 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 2444

Introduced by Assembly Member Klehs (Coauthors: Assembly Members Lieber and Nation Chan, Coto, Evans, Hancock, Leno, Lieber, Nation, Torrico, Wolk, and Yee)

February 23, 2006

An act to add Chapter 2.66 (commencing with Section 65089.20) and Chapter 2.67 (commencing with Section 65089.30) to Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government Code, and to add Sections 9250.3 and 9250.4 to the Vehicle Code, relating to transportation.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2444, as amended, Klehs. Congestion management and motor vehicle environmental mitigation fees.

Existing law provides for the imposition by air districts and other local agencies of fees on the registration of motor vehicles in certain areas of the state that are in addition to the basic vehicle registration fee collected by the Department of Motor Vehicles.

This bill would authorize the congestion management agencies in the 9 Bay Area counties, by $a\frac{3}{2}$ 2/3 vote of all of the members of the governing board, to impose an annual fee of up to \$5 on motor vehicles registered within those counties for a program for the management of traffic congestion. The bill would require a program with performance measures and a budget to be adopted before the fee may be imposed. The bill would require the agency to have an independent audit performed on the program and to submit a report to

AB 2444 — 2 —

the Legislature on the program by July 1, 2011. The bill would require the Department of Motor Vehicles, if requested, to collect the fee and distribute the net revenues, after deduction of specified costs, to the agency. The bill would require that the fees collected may only be used to pay for programs bearing a relationship or benefit to the owners of motor vehicles paying the fee, and would require the agency to make a specified finding of fact in that regard by $a^{2}/_{3}$ vote.

This bill would also authorize the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, which is the air pollution control district for the 9-county Bay Area, to impose an annual fee of up to \$5 on motor vehicles registered with its jurisdiction for programs that mitigate the impacts of motor vehicles on the environment, including, but not limited to, stormwater runoff mitigation projects, water quality improvement projects, and air quality improvement projects. The bill would require a program with performance measures and a budget to be adopted by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board for the San Francisco Bay Region before the fee may be imposed, and would require the fee to be adopted by $a^{2/3}$ vote of the governing board of the district. The bill would require the Department of Motor Vehicles, if requested, to collect the fee and to distribute the net revenues, after deduction of specified costs, to the Bay Area Air Quality Management District and to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board for the San Francisco Bay Region based on a specified formula. The bill would require the recipient agencies to have an independent audit performed on the program and to submit a report to the Legislature on the program by July 1, 2011. The bill would require that the fees collected may only be used to pay for programs bearing a relationship or benefit to the owners of motor vehicles paying the fee, and would require the board to make a specified finding of fact in that regard by $a^{2/3}$ 2/3 vote.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Chapter 2.66 (commencing with Section
- 2 65089.20) is added to Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government
- 3 Code, to read:

-3- AB 2444

Chapter 2.66. Management of Traffic Congestion in the Bay Area

- 65089.20. (a) As used in this chapter, "county transportation agency" means an agency designated pursuant to Section 66531 to develop the county transportation plan.
- (b) A county transportation agency may impose a fee of up to five dollars (\$5) on motor vehicles registered within the county if the board of the county transportation agency adopts a resolution providing for both the fee and a corresponding program for the management of traffic congestion as set forth in Sections 65089.21 to 65089.24, inclusive. Adoption by the board requires a vote of approval by two-thirds of all the members of the board.
- (c) A fee imposed pursuant to this section shall not become operative until six months after the effective date of this section and pursuant to the resolution adopted by the board in subdivision (b).
- (d) A county transportation agency may adopt a resolution by a majority vote of the board to cease collection of the fee commencing on a date determined by the county transportation agency in consultation with the Department of Motor Vehicles.
- 65089.21. (a) The net revenues from the fee distributed to the county transportation agency pursuant to Section 9250.3 of the Vehicle Code shall be used for purposes of congestion management consistent with the objectives of Section 65089.
- (b) (1) The revenues may be used to pay for programs with a relationship or benefit to the owners of motor vehicles that are paying the fee. Eligible projects include, but are not limited to, roadway operations and improvements (not including the construction of through freeway lanes), public transit capital improvements and operations, and bicycle and pedestrian safety projects and programs.
- (2) Prior to imposing the fee, the board of the county transportation agency shall make a finding of fact by two-thirds of all the members of the board of that county transportation agency that those programs bear a relationship or benefit to the motor vehicles that will pay the fee.
- (c) The purpose of the congestion management program is to address motor vehicle congestion.

AB 2444 — 4 —

(d) Not more than 5 percent of the fees distributed to the county transportation agency shall be used by the agency for its administrative costs associated with the program.

65089.22. Prior to the imposition of the fee by the county transportation agency, a specific program with performance measures and a budget shall first be developed and adopted by the county transportation agency at a noticed public hearing.

65089.23. The county transportation agency shall have an independent audit performed on the specific program adopted pursuant to Section 65089.22 with the review and report provided to the board at a noticed public hearing.

65089.24. The county transportation agency shall provide a report to the Legislature on the specific program adopted pursuant to Section 65089.22 by July 1, 2011.

SEC. 2. Chapter 2.67 (commencing with Section 65089.30) is added to Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government Code, to read:

Chapter 2.67. Environmental Mitigation of Motor Vehicles in the Bay Area

65089.30. (a) As used in this chapter, "board" means the governing body of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District.

- (b) The board may impose a fee of up to five dollars (\$5) on motor vehicles registered within the counties in its jurisdiction if the members of the board adopt a resolution providing for both the fee and a corresponding program for the mitigation of the impacts of motor vehicles on the environment submitted to the board as set forth in Sections 65089.31 to 65089.34, inclusive. Adoption by the board requires a vote of approval of two-thirds of all the members of the board.
- (c) A fee imposed pursuant to this section shall not become operative until six months after the effective date of this section and pursuant to the resolution adopted by the board in subdivision (b).
- (d) The board may adopt a resolution by majority vote to cease collection of the fee commencing on a date determined by the board in consultation with the Department of Motor Vehicles.
- 65089.31. (a) The net revenues available pursuant to Section 9250.4 of the Vehicle Code shall be distributed as follows:

5 AB 2444

(1) Fifty percent to the Bay Area Air Quality Management District. Of these revenues, 75 percent shall be expended on projects in the county of origin, as determined by the district, and 25 percent shall be expended on regional projects.

- (2) Fifty percent to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board for the San Francisco Bay Region. Of these revenues, 75 percent shall be expended on projects in the county of origin, as determined by the board, and 25 percent shall be expended on regional projects.
- (b) (1) The revenues may be used to pay for programs that mitigate the impacts of motor vehicles on the environment, including, but not limited to, stormwater runoff mitigation projects, water quality improvement projects, and air quality improvement projects, including those that address emissions that contribute to climate change. The programs shall have a relationship or benefit to the owners of motor vehicles that are paying the fee.
- (2) Prior to the imposition of the fee, the board shall make a finding of fact by a two-thirds vote of all of the members of the board that those programs bear a relationship or benefit to the motor vehicles that will pay the fee.
- (c) Not more than 5 percent of the fees distributed to the Bay Area Quality Management District or the California Regional Water Quality Control Board for the San Francisco Bay Region shall be used by those entities for their administrative costs associated with the programs specified in this section.
- 65089.32. Prior to the imposition of the fee by the board, a specific program with performance measures and a budget shall first be developed and adopted by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board for the San Francisco Bay Region for the anticipated revenues each agency is expected to receive pursuant to Section 65089.31. The adoption shall occur at a noticed public hearing of each agency. Each agency shall submit the program and budget to the board.
- 65089.33. The Bay Area Air Quality Management District and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board for the San Francisco Bay Region shall have an independent audit performed on the specific program adopted pursuant to Section

-6-**AB 2444**

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

1 65089.32 with the review and report provided to each agency at a 2 noticed public hearing.

3 65089.34. The Bay Area Air Quality Management District 4 and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board for the 5 San Francisco Bay Region shall provide a report to the 6 Legislature on the specific program adopted pursuant to Section 65089.32 by July 1, 2011.

- SEC. 3. Section 9250.3 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read: 9250.3. (a) The department shall, if requested by a county transportation agency, collect the fee imposed pursuant to Section 65089.20 of the Government Code upon the registration or renewal of registration of any motor vehicle registered in the county, except those vehicles that are expressly exempted under this code from the payment of registration fees.
- (b) A county transportation agency shall pay for the initial setup and programming costs identified by the Department of Motor Vehicles through a direct contract with the department. Any direct contract payment by the county transportation agency shall be repaid, with no restriction on the funds, to the county transportation agency as part of the initial revenues distributed. Regular Department of Motor Vehicles collection costs shall be in accordance with subdivision (c). These costs shall not be counted against the 5-percent administration cost limit specified in subdivision (d) of Section 65089.21.
- (c) After deducting all costs incurred pursuant to this section, the department shall distribute the net revenues to the county transportation agency.
- (d) As used in this section, "county transportation agency" has the same meaning as in subdivision (a) of Section 65089.20 of the Government Code.
- SEC. 4. Section 9250.4 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read: (a) The department shall, if requested by the governing board of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, collect the fee imposed pursuant to Section 65089.30 of the Government Code upon the registration or renewal of registration of any motor vehicle registered in a county within the jurisdiction of the board, except those vehicles that are expressly exempted under this code from the payment of registration fees.
- (b) The board shall pay for the initial setup and programming costs identified by the Department of Motor Vehicles through a

7 AB 2444

- 1 direct contract with the department. Any direct contract payment
- 2 by the board shall be repaid, with no restriction on the funds, to
- 3 the board as part of the initial revenues available for distribution.
- 4 Regular Department of Motor Vehicles collection costs shall be
- 5 in accordance with subdivision (c). These costs shall not be
- 6 counted against the 5 percent administration cost limit specified
- 7 in subdivision (c) of Section 65089.31.
- 8 (c) After deducting all costs incurred pursuant to this section,
- 9 the department shall distribute the net revenues pursuant to
- 10 subdivision (a) of Section 65089.31 of the Government Code.

Introduced by Senator Simitian

February 24, 2006

An act to add Section 9250.6 to the Vehicle Code, relating to vehicles.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1611, as amended, Simitian. Congestion management fees.

Existing law provides for creation of congestion management agencies in various counties with specified powers and duties relative to management of transportation congestion. Existing law provides for the imposition by air districts and certain other local agencies of fees on the registration of motor vehicles in certain areas of the state that are in addition to the basic vehicle registration fee collected by the Department of Motor Vehicles.

This bill would authorize a congestion management agency—to impose, or where there is no congestion management agency, the board of supervisors, to place a majority vote ballot measure before the voters of a county authorizing the imposition of an annual fee of up to—\$20 \$25 on each motor vehicle registered within the county for transportation projects and programs with a relationship or benefit to the persons paying the fee. The bill would require—a specific transportation program with performance measures and a budget to be adopted before the fee is imposed. The bill would require the resolution imposing the fee to incorporate the specific transportation program to be funded by the fee and specified findings of fact. The bill would require the resolution to be adopted by a 2/3 vote of the governing board. The bill would require the agency to have an

SB 1611 -2-

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

independent audit conducted annually on the program and to provide a specified report to the Legislature the ballot measure resolution to be adopted by a majority vote of the governing board of the congestion management agency or the board of supervisors, as appropriate, at a noticed public hearing and would also require the resolution to contain a specified finding of fact. The bill would require the Department of Motor Vehicles, if requested, to collect the fee and distribute the proceeds, after deduction of specified administrative costs, to the agency or the board of supervisors, as appropriate, and would enact other related provisions.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 9250.6 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

9250.6. (a) A county congestion management agency created pursuant to Chapter 2.6 (commencing with Section 65088) of Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government Code may, impose an annual fee of up to twenty dollars (\$20) on each motor vehicle registered in the county, with the net revenues to be used for transportation-related programs that have a relationship or benefit to the persons that pay the fee, including the provision of required matching funds for funding made available for transportation from state general obligation bonds. The agency may impose the fee only if the governing board adopts a resolution providing both for the fee and the specific transportation program in subdivision (b). The resolution shall also contain a finding of fact that the projects and programs to be funded by the fee have a relationship or benefit to the persons who will be paying the fee. Adoption of the fee, the program, and the finding of fact shall all require a two-thirds vote of the governing board at a noticed public hearing.

- (b) Prior to imposition of the fee, the governing board shall adopt a specific program for expenditure of fee revenues, with performance measures and a budget. The program shall be adopted by the governing board at a noticed public hearing.
- (c) The congestion management agency shall arrange for an independent audit to be conducted annually on the specific

-3- SB 1611

program adopted pursuant to subdivision (b), with the auditor's review and report to be provided annually to the governing board at a noticed public hearing.

- (d) The congestion management agency shall provide a report to the Legislature on the specific program adopted pursuant to subdivision (b). The report shall include, but need not be limited to, an evaluation of the impact and performance improvements funded by the fee and the cost effectiveness of the program. Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government Code, or where there is no county congestion management agency, the board of supervisors, may place a majority vote ballot measure before the voters of a county to authorize an increase in the vehicle registration fee for transportation-related projects programs. The ballot measure resolution shall be adopted by a majority vote of the governing board of a county congestion management agency, or where there is no county congestion management agency, the board of supervisors, at a noticed public hearing. The resolution shall also contain a finding of fact that the projects and programs to be funded by the fee have a relationship or benefit to the persons who will be paying the fee. Adoption of the resolution and the finding of fact shall all require a majority vote of the governing board or the board of supervisors, as appropriate, at a noticed public hearing.
- (b) Pursuant to a ballot measure adopted under subdivision (a), the voters of a county may impose an annual fee of up to twenty-five dollars (\$25) on each motor vehicle registered in the county, with the net revenues to be used for transportation-related programs that have a relationship or benefit to the persons that pay the fee, including, but not limited to, the provision of required matching funds for funding made available for transportation from state general obligation bonds, congestion mitigation, and pollution prevention.

(e)-

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

(c) The department shall, if requested by a congestion management agency or the board of supervisors, as appropriate, collect the fee-imposed approved by the voters pursuant to this section upon the registration or renewal of registration of any motor vehicle registered in the county, except those vehicles that are expressly exempt under this code from the payment of registration fees. The agency or the board of supervisors, as

SB 1611 —4—

- 1 appropriate, shall pay for the initial setup and programming
- 2 costs identified by the department through a direct contract with
- 3 the department. Any direct contract payment shall be repaid, with
- 4 no restriction on the use of funds, to the agency or the board of
- 5 supervisors, as appropriate, as part of the initial net revenues
- 6 distributed. After deducting all nonreimbursed costs incurred by
- 7 the department pursuant to this section, the department shall
- 8 distribute the net revenues to the agency or the board of
- 9 supervisors, as appropriate.

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 26, 2006

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2005–06 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 2538

Introduced by Assembly Member Wolk

February 23, 2006

An act to amend Section 14527 of the Government Code, relating to transportation.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2538, as amended, Wolk. Transportation funds: planning and programming regional agencies.

Existing law generally provides for programming and allocation of funds for transportation capital improvement projects through the State Transportation Improvement Program process administered by the California Transportation Commission. Existing law requires 25% of available funds to be programmed and expended on interregional improvement projects nominated by the Department Transportation, and 75% of available funds to be programmed and expended on regional improvement projects nominated by regional transportation planning agencies or county transportation commissions, as applicable, through adoption of a regional transportation improvement program. Existing law authorizes a transportation planning agency or county transportation commission to request and receive up to 1% of regional improvement fund expenditures for the purposes of project planning, programming, and monitoring, but authorizes an amount up to 5% of those expenditures for a transportation planning agency or county transportation commission not receiving federal metropolitan planning funds.

AB 2538 -2-

1

24

25

26 27

This bill would instead authorize each transportation planning agency or county transportation commission to request and receive up to 5% of those funds for the purposes of project planning, programming, and monitoring. The bill would also establish a minimum amount to be allocated for this purpose. The bill would change the references to "regional improvement funds" to instead refer to "county—shares." share." The bill would make other conforming changes.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 14527 of the Government Code is amended to read:

2 3 14527. (a) After consulting with the department, the regional 4 transportation planning agencies and county transportation 5 commissions shall adopt and submit to the commission and the 6 department, not later than December 15, 2001, and December 15 of each odd-numbered year thereafter, a five-year regional transportation improvement program in conformance with Section 65082. In counties where a county transportation 10 commission has been created pursuant to Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 130050) of Division 12 of the Public 11 12 Utilities Code, that commission shall adopt and submit the 13 county transportation improvement program, in conformance 14 with Sections 130303 and 130304 of that code, to the 15 multicounty designated transportation planning agency. Other information, including a program for expenditure of local or 16 17 federal funds, may be submitted for information purposes with 18 the program, but only at the discretion of the transportation 19 planning agencies or the county transportation commissions. As 20 used in this section, "county transportation commission" includes 21 a transportation authority created pursuant to Chapter 2 22 (commencing with Section 130050) of Division 12 of the Public 23 Utilities Code.

(b) The regional transportation improvement program shall include all projects to be funded with the county share under paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 164 of the Streets and Highways Code. The regional programs shall be limited to

-3- AB 2538

projects to be funded in whole or in part with the county share that shall include all projects to receive allocations by the commission during the following five fiscal years. For each project, the total expenditure for each project component and the total amount of commission allocation and the year of allocation shall be stated. The total cost of projects to be funded with the county share shall not exceed the amount specified in the fund estimate made by the commission pursuant to Section 14525.

- (c) The regional transportation planning agencies and county transportation commissions may recommend projects to improve state highways with the interregional share pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 164 of the Streets and Highways Code. The recommendations shall be separate and distinct from the regional transportation improvement program. A project recommended for funding pursuant to this subdivision shall constitute a usable segment and shall not be a condition for inclusion of other projects in the regional transportation improvement program.
- (d) The department may nominate or recommend the inclusion of projects in the regional transportation improvement program to improve state highways with the county share pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) and subdivision (e) of Section 164 of the Streets and Highways Code. A regional transportation planning agency and a county transportation commission shall have sole authority for determining whether any of the project nominations or recommendations are accepted and included in the regional transportation improvement program adopted and submitted pursuant to this section. This authority provided to a regional transportation planning agency or to a county transportation commission extends only to a project located within its jurisdiction.
- (e) Major projects shall include current costs updated as of November 1 of the year of submittal and escalated to the appropriate year, and shall be consistent with, and provide the information required in, subdivision (b) of Section 14529.
- (f) The regional transportation improvement program may not change the project delivery milestone date of any project as shown in the prior adopted state transportation improvement program without the consent of the department or other agency responsible for the project's delivery.

AB 2538 —4—

 (g) Projects may not be included in the regional transportation improvement program without a complete project study report or, for a project that is not on a state highway, a project study report equivalent or major investment study.

- (h) Each transportation planning agency and county transportation commission may request and receive an amount not to exceed 5 percent of its county share for the purposes of project planning, programming, and monitoring. In no case shall these amounts be less than the respective percentage *requested* of the county share for a state transportation improvement program of one billion two hundred fifty million dollars (\$1,250,000,000) per year.
- (i) For the purposes of this section, "county share" shall mean "regional improvement funds" and "interregional share" shall mean interregional improvement funds.

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 18, 2006 AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 27, 2006

SENATE BILL

No. 1431

Introduced by Senator Cox

(Principal coauthor: Assembly Member Wolk) (Coauthors: Assembly Members Benoit, Garcia, Harman, Shirley Horton, Mountjoy, Strickland, Tran, and Walters)

February 22, 2006

An act to amend Sections 20133 and 20175.2 of, and to add and repeal Article 5.5 (commencing with Section 20193) of Chapter 1 of Part 3 of Division 2 of, the Public Contract Code, relating to public contracts.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1431, as amended, Cox. Public contracts: design-build contracting: cities, counties and special districts.

Existing law requires public entities to comply with certain procedures in soliciting and evaluating bids and awarding contracts for the erection, construction, alteration, repair, or improvement of any public structure, building, road, or other public improvement. Existing law, until January 1, 2011, permits cities in the Counties of Solano and Yolo, with the approval of the city council, to enter into specified design-build contracts, as defined, in accordance with specified provisions.

This bill would instead permit any city, until January 1, 2017, with the approval of the city council, to enter into specified design-build contracts, as defined, in accordance with specified provisions, and requires that contracts costing more than \$2,500,000 be awarded by those cities to the lowest responsible bidder or by best value, as

SB 1431 -2-

defined, and would require the Legislative Analyst's Office to report to the Legislature regarding the effectiveness of the design-build program.

Existing law, until January 1, 2011, authorizes certain counties, with the approval of the board of supervisors, to enter into design-build contracts, as defined, in accordance with specified provisions, and requires that contracts costing more than \$2,500,000 to be awarded by those counties to the lowest responsible bidder or by best value, as defined.

This bill would authorize, until January 1, 2017, any county, with the approval of the board of supervisors, to enter into design-build contracts, as defined, in accordance with specified provisions, would require that contracts costing more than \$2,500,000 be awarded by the county to the lowest responsible bidder or by best value, as defined, and would require the Legislative Analyst's Office, on or before January 1, 2010, to report to the Legislature regarding the effectiveness of the design-build program.

This bill would also authorize, until January 1, 2017, any special district, as defined, upon approval of its governing body, to enter into design-build contracts, as defined, in accordance with specified provisions, would require that contracts costing more than \$2,500,000 to-be awarded by the special district to the lowest responsible bidder or by best value, as defined, and would require the Legislative Analyst's Office to report to the Legislature regarding the effectiveness of the design-build program.

This bill would require specified information to be verified under oath, thus imposing a state-mandated local program by expanding the scope of an existing crime.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

-3- SB 1431

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 2

SECTION 1. Section 20133 of the Public Contract Code is amended to read:

- 20133. (a) A county, with approval of the board of supervisors, may utilize an alternative procedure for bidding on building construction projects in the county in excess of two million five hundred thousand dollars (\$2,500,000) and may award the project using either the lowest responsible bidder or by best value.
- (b) (1) It is the intent of the Legislature to enable counties to utilize cost-effective options for building and modernizing public facilities. It is not the intent of the Legislature to authorize this procedure for transportation facilities, including streets, roads, and bridges.
- (2) The Legislature also finds and declares that utilizing a design-build contract requires a clear understanding of the roles and responsibilities of each participant in the design-build process. The Legislature also finds that the cost-effective benefits to the counties are achieved by shifting the liability and risk for cost containment and project completion to the design-build entity.
- (3) It is the intent of the Legislature to provide an alternative and optional procedure for bidding and building construction projects for counties.
- (4) The design-build approach may be used, but is not limited to use, when it is anticipated that it will: reduce project cost, expedite project completion, or provide design features not achievable through the design-bid-build method.
- (5) If the board of supervisors elects to proceed under this section, the board of supervisors shall establish and enforce for design-build projects a labor compliance program containing the requirements outlined in Section 1771.5 of the Labor Code, or it shall contract with a third party to operate a labor compliance program containing the requirements outlined in Section 1771.5 of the Labor Code. This requirement shall not apply to any project where the county or the design-build entity has entered into any collective bargaining agreement or agreements that bind all of the contractors performing work on the projects.
 - (c) As used in this section:

SB 1431 —4—

(1) "Best value" means a value determined by objective criteria related to price, features, functions, small business contracting plans, past performance, and life cycle costs.

- (2) "Design-build" means a procurement process in which both the design and construction of a project are procured from a single entity.
- (3) "Design-build entity" means a partnership, corporation, or other legal entity that is able to provide appropriately licensed contracting, architectural, and engineering services as needed pursuant to a design-build contract.
- (4) "Project" means the construction of public improvements, except for streets, roads, and bridges.
- (d) Design-build projects shall progress in a four-step process, as follows:
- (1) (A) The county shall prepare a set of documents setting forth the scope of the project. The documents may include, but are not limited to, the size, type and desired design character of the project and site, performance specifications covering the quality of materials, equipment, and workmanship, preliminary plans or project layouts, or any other information deemed necessary to describe adequately the county's needs. The performance specifications and any plans shall be prepared by a design professional who is duly licensed and registered in California.
- (B) Any architect or engineer retained by the county to assist in the development of the project specific documents shall not be eligible to participate in the preparation of a bid with any design-build entity for that project.
- (2) (A) Based on the documents prepared in paragraph (1), the county shall prepare a request for proposals that invites interested parties to submit competitive sealed proposals in the manner prescribed by the county. The request for proposals shall include, but is not limited to, the following elements:
- (i) Identification of the basic scope and needs of the project or contract, the expected cost range, and other information deemed necessary by the county to inform interested parties of the contracting opportunity, to include the methodology that will be used by the county to evaluate proposals and specifically if the contract will be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder.

5 SB 1431

(ii) Significant factors which the county reasonably expects to consider in evaluating proposals, including cost or price and all nonprice related factors.

- (iii) The relative importance of weight assigned to each of the factors identified in the request for proposals.
- (B) With respect to clause (iii) of subparagraph (A), if a nonweighted system is used, the agency shall specifically disclose whether all evaluation factors other than cost or price when combined are:
 - (i) Significantly more important than cost or price.

- (ii) Approximately equal in importance to cost or price.
- (iii) Significantly less important than cost or price.
- (C) If the county chooses to reserve the right to hold discussions or negotiations with responsive bidders, it shall so specify in the request for proposal and shall publish separately or incorporate into the request for proposal applicable rules and procedures to be observed by the county to ensure that any discussions or negotiations are conducted in good faith.
- (3) (A) The county shall establish a procedure to prequalify design-build entities using a standard questionnaire developed by the county or a questionnaire developed by the Department of Industrial Relations. In preparing its own questionnaire, the county shall consult with the construction industry, including representatives of the building trades and surety industry. This questionnaire shall require information including, but not limited to, all of the following:
- (i) If the design-build entity is a partnership, limited partnership, or other association, a listing of all of the partners, general partners, or association members known at the time of bid submission who will participate in the design-build contract, including, but not limited to, mechanical subcontractors.
- (ii) Evidence that the members of the design-build entity have completed, or demonstrated the experience, competency, capability, and capacity to complete projects of similar size, scope, or complexity, and that proposed key personnel have sufficient experience and training to competently manage and complete the design and construction of the project, as well as a financial statement that assures the county that the design-build entity has the capacity to complete the project.

SB 1431 -6-

1 2

(iii) The licenses, registration, and credentials required to design and construct the project, including information on the revocation or suspension of any license, credential, or registration.

- (iv) Evidence that establishes that the design-build entity has the capacity to obtain all required payment and performance bonding, liability insurance, and errors and omissions insurance.
- (v) Any prior serious or willful violation of the California Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1973, contained in Part 1 (commencing with Section 6300) of Division 5 of the Labor Code or the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (Public Law 91-596), settled against any member of the design-build entity, and information concerning workers' compensation experience history and worker safety program.
- (vi) Information concerning any debarment, disqualification, or removal from a federal, state, or local government public works project. Any instance where an entity, its owners, officers, or managing employees submitted a bid on a public works project and were found to be nonresponsive, or were found by an awarding body not to be a responsible bidder.
- (vii) Any instance where the entity, its owner, officers, or managing employees defaulted on a construction contract.
- (viii) Any violations of the Contractors' State License Law (Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 7000) of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code), excluding alleged violations of federal or state law including the payment of wages, benefits, apprenticeship requirements, or personal income tax withholding, or of Federal Insurance Contribution Act (FICA) withholding requirements settled against any member of the design-build entity.
- (ix) Information concerning the bankruptcy or receivership of any member of the design-build entity, including information concerning any work completed by a surety.
- (x) Information concerning all settled adverse claims, disputes, or lawsuits between the owner of a public works project and any member of the design-build entity during the five years preceding submission of a bid pursuant to this section, in which the claim, settlement, or judgment exceeds fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000). Information shall also be provided concerning any work completed by a surety during this period.

7 SB 1431

(xi) In the case of a partnership or other association, that is not a legal entity, a copy of the agreement creating the partnership or association and specifying that all partners or association members agree to be fully liable for the performance under the design-build contract.

- (B) The information required pursuant to this subdivision shall be verified under oath by the entity and its members in the manner in which civil pleadings in civil actions are verified. Information that is not a public record pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Chapter 3.5, Division 7, Title 1 of the Government Code) shall not be open to public inspection.
- (4) The county shall establish a procedure for final selection of the design-build entity. Selection shall be based on either of the following criteria:
- (A) A competitive bidding process resulting in lump-sum bids by the prequalified design-build entities. Awards shall be made to the lowest responsible bidder.
- (B) A county may use a design-build competition based upon best value and other criteria set forth in paragraph (2) of subdivision (d). The design-build competition shall include the following elements:
- (i) Competitive proposals shall be evaluated by using only the criteria and selection procedures specifically identified in the request for proposal. However, the following minimum factors shall each represent at least 10 percent of the total weight of consideration given to all criteria factors; price, technical design and construction expertise, life cycle costs over 15 years or more, skilled labor force availability, and acceptable safety record.
- (ii) Once the evaluation is complete, the top three responsive bidders shall be ranked sequentially from the most advantageous to the least.
- (iii) The award of the contract shall be made to the responsible bidder whose proposal is determined, in writing, to be the most advantageous.
- (iv) Notwithstanding any provision of this code, upon issuance of a contract award, the county shall publicly announce its award, identifying the contractor to whom the award is made, along with a written decision supporting its contract award and stating the basis of the award. The notice of award shall also include the county's second and third ranked design-build entities.

SB 1431 —8—

(v) For the purposes of this paragraph, "skilled labor force availability" shall be determined by the existence of an agreement with a registered apprenticeship program, approved by the California Apprenticeship Council, which has graduated apprentices in each of the preceding five years. This graduation requirement shall not apply to programs providing apprenticeship training for any craft that has been deemed by the Department of Labor and the Department of Industrial Relations to be an apprenticeable craft in the five years prior to enactment of this act.

- (vi) For the purposes of this paragraph, a bidder's "safety record" shall be deemed "acceptable" if their experience modification rate for the most recent three-year period is an average of 1.00 or less, and their average Total Recordable Injury/Illness rate and average lost work rate for the most recent three-year period does not exceed the applicable statistical standards for its business category or if the bidder is a party to an alternative dispute resolution system as provided for in Section 3201.5 of the Labor Code.
- (e) (1) Any design-build entity that is selected to design and build a project pursuant to this section shall possess or obtain sufficient bonding to cover the contract amount for nondesign services, and errors and omission insurance coverage sufficient to cover all design and architectural services provided in the contract. This section does not prohibit a general or engineering contractor from being designated the lead entity on a design-build entity for the purposes of purchasing necessary bonding to cover the activities of the design-build entity.
- (2) Any payment or performance bond written for the purposes of this section shall be written using a bond form developed by the county.
- (f) All subcontractors that were not listed by the design-build entity in accordance with clause (i) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (d) shall be awarded by the design-build entity in accordance with the design-build process set forth by the county in the design-build package. All subcontractors bidding on contracts pursuant to this section shall be afforded the protections contained in Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 4100) of Part 1. The design-build entity shall do both of the following:

-9- SB 1431

(1) Provide public notice of the availability of work to be subcontracted in accordance with the publication requirements applicable to the competitive bidding process of the county.

- (2) Provide a fixed date and time on which the subcontracted work will be awarded in accordance with the procedure established pursuant to this section.
- (g) The minimum performance criteria and design standards established pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) shall be adhered to by the design-build entity. Any deviations from those standards may only be allowed by written consent of the county.
- (h) The county may retain the services of a design professional or construction project manager, or both, throughout the course of the project in order to ensure compliance with this section.
- (i) Contracts awarded pursuant to this section shall be valid until the project is completed.
- (j) Nothing in this section is intended to affect, expand, alter, or limit any rights or remedies otherwise available at law.
- (k) (1) If the county elects to award a project pursuant to this section retention proceeds withheld by the county from the design-build entity shall not exceed 5 percent if a performance and payment bond, issued by an admitted surety insurer, is required in the solicitation of bids.
- (2) In a contract between the design-build entity and the subcontractor, and in a contract between a subcontractor and any subcontractor thereunder, the percentage of the retention proceeds withheld may not exceed the percentage specified in the contract between the county and the design-build entity. If the design-build entity provides written notice to any subcontractor who is not a member of the design-build entity, prior to or at the time the bid is requested, that a bond may be required and the subcontractor subsequently is unable or refuses to furnish a bond to the design-build entity, then the design-build entity may withhold retention proceeds in excess of the percentage specified in the contract between the county and the design-build entity from any payment made by the design-build entity to the subcontractor.
- (*l*) Each county that elects to proceed under this section and uses the design-build method on a public works project shall submit to the Legislative Analyst's Office before December 1, 2009, a report containing a description of each public works

SB 1431 -10-

1 project procured through the design-build process and completed

- 2 after January 1, 2007, and before November 1, 2009. The report
- 3 shall include, but shall not be limited to, all of the following 4 information:
 - (1) The type of project.

- (2) The gross square footage of the project.
- (3) The design-build entity that was awarded the project.
- (4) The estimated and actual length of time to complete the project.
 - (5) The estimated and actual project costs.
- (6) A description of any written protests concerning any aspect of the solicitation, bid, proposal, or award of the design-build project, including the resolution of the protests.
 - (7) An assessment of the prequalification process and criteria.
- (8) An assessment of the effect of retaining—5-percent 5 percent retention on the project.
- (9) A description of the Labor Force Compliance Program and an assessment of the project impact, where required.
- (10) A description of the method used to award the contract. If best value was the method, the report shall describe the factors used to evaluate the bid, including the weighting of each factor and an assessment of the effectiveness of the methodology.
- (11) An assessment of the project impact of "skilled labor force availability."
- (12) An assessment of the design-build dollar limits on county projects. This assessment shall include projects where the county wanted to use design-build and was precluded by the dollar limitation. This assessment shall also include projects where the best value method was not used due to dollar limitations.
- (13) An assessment of the most appropriate uses for the design-build approach.
- (m) Any county that elects to not use the authority granted by this section may submit a report to the Legislative Analyst's Office explaining why the county elected to not use the design-build method.
- (n) On or before January 1, 2010, the Legislative Analyst shall report to the Legislature on the use of the design-build method by counties pursuant to this section, including the information listed in subdivision (l). The report may include recommendations for modifying or extending this section.

-11- SB 1431

(o) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2017, and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2017, deletes or extends that date.

- SEC. 2. Section 20175.2 of the Public Contract Code is amended to read:
- 20175.2. (a) A city, upon approval of the city council, may utilize an alternative procedure for bidding on building construction projects in the city in excess of two million five hundred thousand dollars (\$2,500,000) and may award the project using either the lowest responsible bidder or by best value.
- (b) (1) It is the intent of the Legislature to enable cities to utilize cost-effective options for building and modernizing public facilities. The Legislature also recognizes the national trend, including authorization in California, to allow public entities to utilize design-build contracts as a project delivery method. It is not the intent of the Legislature to authorize this procedure for transportation facilities, including streets, roads, and bridges.
- (2) The Legislature also finds and declares that utilizing a design-build contract requires a clear understanding of the roles and responsibilities of each participant in the design-build process. The Legislature also finds that the cost-effective benefits to cities are achieved by shifting the liability and risk for cost containment and project completion to the design-build entity.
- (3) It is the intent of the Legislature to provide an alternative and optional procedure for bidding and building construction projects for cities.
- (4) The design-build approach may be used, but is not limited to use, when it is anticipated that it will: reduce project cost, expedite project completion, or provide design features not achievable through the design-bid-build method.
- (5) If a city council elects to proceed under this section, the city council shall establish and enforce, for design-build projects, a labor compliance program containing the requirements outlined in Section 1771.5 of the Labor Code, or it shall contract with a third party to operate a labor compliance program containing the requirements outlined in Section 1771.5 of the Labor Code. This requirement shall not apply to any project where the city or the design-build entity has entered into any collective bargaining

SB 1431 — 12 —

agreement or agreements that bind all of the contractors performing work on the projects.

(c) As used in this section:

- (1) "Best value" means a value determined by objectives relative to price, features, functions, small business contracting plans, past performance, and life cycle costs.
- (2) "Design-build" means a procurement process in which both the design and construction of a project are procured from a single entity.
- (3) "Design-build entity" means a partnership, corporation, or other legal entity that is able to provide appropriately licensed contracting, architectural, and engineering services, as needed, pursuant to a design-build contract.
- (4) "Project" means the construction of public improvements, except for streets, roads, and bridges.
- (d) Design-build projects shall progress in a four-step process, as follows:
- (1) (A) The city shall prepare a set of documents setting forth the scope of the project. The documents may include, but are not limited to, the size, type, and desired design character of the project and site, performance specifications covering the quality of materials, equipment, and workmanship, preliminary plans or project layouts, or any other information deemed necessary to describe adequately the city's needs. The performance specifications and any plans shall be prepared by a design professional who is duly licensed and registered in California.
- (B) Any architect or engineer retained by the city to assist in the development of the project-specific documents shall not be eligible to participate in the preparation of a bid with any design-build entity for that project.
- (2) (A) Based on the documents prepared in paragraph (1), the city shall prepare a request for proposals that invites interested parties to submit competitive sealed proposals in the manner prescribed by the city. The request for proposals shall include, but is not limited to, the following elements:
- (i) Identification of the basic scope and needs of the project or contract, the expected cost range, and other information deemed necessary by the city to inform interested parties of the contracting opportunity, to include the methodology that will be

-13 - SB 1431

used by the city to evaluate proposals, and specifically if the contract will be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder.

- (ii) Significant factors which the city reasonably expects to consider in evaluating proposals, including cost or price and all nonprice related factors.
- (iii) The relative importance of weight assigned to each of the factors identified in the request for proposals.
- (B) With respect to clause (iii) of subparagraph (A), if a nonweighted system is used, the agency shall specifically disclose whether all evaluation factors, other than cost or price, when combined are:
 - (i) Significantly more important than cost or price.
 - (ii) Approximately equal in importance to cost or price.
 - (iii) Significantly less important than cost or price.
- (C) If the city chooses to reserve the right to hold discussions or negotiations with responsive bidders, it shall so specify in the request for proposal and shall publish separately, or incorporate into the request for proposal, applicable rules and procedures to be observed by the city to ensure that any discussions or negotiations are conducted in good faith.
- (3) (A) The city shall establish a procedure to prequalify design-build entities using a standard questionnaire developed by the city or a questionnaire developed by the Department of Industrial Relations. In preparing its own questionnaire, the city shall consult with the construction industry, including representatives of the building trades and surety industry. This questionnaire shall require information including, but not limited to, all of the following:
- (i) If the design-build entity is a partnership, limited partnership, or other association, a listing of all of the partners, general partners, or association members known at the time of bid submission who will participate in the design-build contract, including, but not limited to, mechanical subcontractors.
- (ii) Evidence that the members of the design-build entity have completed, or demonstrated the experience, competency, capability, and capacity to complete projects of similar size, scope, or complexity, and that proposed key personnel have sufficient experience and training to competently manage and complete the design and construction of the project, as well as a

SB 1431 —14—

financial statement that assures the city that the design-build entity has the capacity to complete the project.

- (iii) The licenses, registration, and credentials required to design and construct the project, including information on the revocation or suspension of any license, credential, or registration.
- (iv) Evidence that establishes that the design-build entity has the capacity to obtain all required payment and performance bonding, liability insurance, and errors and omissions insurance.
- (v) Any prior serious or willful violation of the California Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1973, contained in Part 1 (commencing with Section 6300) of Division 5 of the Labor Code or the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (Public Law 91–596) settled against any member of the design-build entity, and information concerning workers' compensation experience history and worker safety program.
- (vi) Information concerning any debarment, disqualification, or removal from a federal, state, or local government public works project. Any instance where an entity, its owners, officers, or managing employees submitted a bid on a public works project and were found to be nonresponsive, or were found by an awarding body not to be a responsible bidder.
- (vii) Any instance where the entity, its owners, officers, or managing employees defaulted on a construction contract.
- (viii) Any violations of the Contractors' State License Law (Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 7000) of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code), excluding alleged violations of federal or state law including the payment of wages, benefits, apprenticeship requirements, or personal income tax withholding, or of Federal Insurance Contribution Act (FICA) withholding requirements settled against any member of the design-build entity.
- (ix) Information concerning the bankruptcy or receivership of any member of the design-build entity, including information concerning any work completed by a surety.
- (x) Information concerning all settled adverse claims, disputes, or lawsuits between the owner of a public works project and any member of the design-build entity during the five years preceding submission of a bid pursuant to this section, in which the claim, settlement, or judgment exceeds fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000).

15 SB 1431

Information shall also be provided concerning any work completed by a surety during this period.

1 2

- (xi) In the case of a partnership or other association that is not a legal entity, a copy of the agreement creating the partnership or association and specifying that all partners or association members agree to be fully liable for the performance under the design-build contract.
- (B) The information required pursuant to this subdivision shall be verified under oath by the entity and its members in the manner in which civil pleadings in civil actions are verified. Information that is not a public record pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Chapter 3.5 of Division 7 of Title 1 of the Government Code) shall not be open to public inspection.
- (4) The city shall establish a procedure for final selection of the design-build entity. Selection shall be based on either of the following criteria:
- (A) A competitive bidding process resulting in lump-sum bids by the prequalified design-build entities. Awards shall be made to the lowest responsible bidder.
- (B) The city may use a design-build competition based upon best value and other criteria set forth in paragraph (2) of subdivision (d). The design-build competition shall include the following elements:
- (i) Competitive proposals shall be evaluated by using only the criteria and selection procedures specifically identified in the request for proposal. However, the following minimum factors shall each represent at least 10 percent of the total weight of consideration given to all criteria factors: price, technical design and construction expertise, life cycle costs over 15 years or more, skilled labor force availability, and acceptable safety record.
- (ii) Once the evaluation is complete, the top three responsive bidders shall be ranked sequentially from the most advantageous to the least.
- (iii) The award of the contract shall be made to the responsible bidder whose proposal is determined, in writing, to be the most advantageous.
- (iv) Notwithstanding any provision of this code, upon issuance of a contract award, the city shall publicly announce its award, identifying the contractor to whom the award is made, along with a written decision supporting its contract award and stating the

SB 1431 -16-

basis of the award. The notice of award shall also include the city's second and third ranked design-build entities.

- (v) For the purposes of this paragraph, "skilled labor force availability" shall be determined by the existence of an agreement with a registered apprenticeship program, approved by the California Apprenticeship Council, which has graduated apprentices in each of the preceding five years. This graduation requirement shall not apply to programs providing apprenticeship training for any craft that has been deemed by the Department of Labor and the Department of Industrial Relations to be an apprenticeable craft in the five years prior to enactment of this act.
- (vi) For the purposes of this paragraph, a bidder's "safety record" shall be deemed "acceptable" if their experience modification rate for the most recent three-year period is an average of 1.00 or less, and their average Total Recordable Injury/Illness rate and average lost work rate for the most recent three-year period does not exceed the applicable statistical standards for its business category, or if the bidder is a party to an alternative dispute resolution system, as provided for in Section 3201.5 of the Labor Code.
- (e) (1) Any design-build entity that is selected to design and build a project pursuant to this section shall possess or obtain sufficient bonding to cover the contract amount for nondesign services and errors and omissions insurance coverage sufficient to cover all design and architectural services provided in the contract. This section does not prohibit a general or engineering contractor from being designated the lead entity on a design-build entity for the purposes of purchasing necessary bonding to cover the activities of the design-build entity.
- (2) Any payment or performance bond written for the purposes of this section shall be written using a bond form developed by the city.
- (f) All subcontractors that were not listed by the design-build entity in accordance with clause (i) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (d) shall be awarded by the design-build entity in accordance with the design-build process set forth by the city in the design-build package. All subcontractors bidding on contracts pursuant to this section shall be afforded the protections contained in Chapter 4 (commencing

-17 - SB 1431

with Section 4100) of Part 1. The design-build entity shall do both of the following:

- (1) Provide public notice of the availability of work to be subcontracted in accordance with the publication requirements applicable to the competitive bidding process of the city.
- (2) Provide a fixed date and time on which the subcontracted work will be awarded in accordance with the procedure established pursuant to this section.
- (g) The minimum performance criteria and design standards established pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) shall be adhered to by the design-build entity. Any deviations from those standards may only be allowed by written consent of the city.
- (h) The city may retain the services of a design professional or construction project manager, or both, throughout the course of the project in order to ensure compliance with this section.
- (i) Contracts awarded pursuant to this section shall be valid until the project is completed.
- (j) Nothing in this section is intended to affect, expand, alter, or limit any rights or remedies otherwise available at law.
- (k) (1) If the city elects to award a project pursuant to this section, retention proceeds withheld by the city from the design-build entity shall not exceed 5 percent if a performance and payment bond, issued by an admitted surety insurer, is required in the solicitation of bids.
- (2) In a contract between the design-build entity and the subcontractor, and in a contract between a subcontractor and any subcontractor thereunder, the percentage of the retention proceeds withheld may not exceed the percentage specified in the contract between the city and the design-build entity. If the design-build entity provides written notice to any subcontractor who is not a member of the design-build entity, prior to or at the time the bid is requested, that a bond may be required and the subcontractor subsequently is unable or refuses to furnish a bond to the design-build entity, then the design-build entity may withhold retention proceeds in excess of the percentage specified in the contract between the city and the design-build entity from any payment made by the design-build entity to the subcontractor.
- (*l*) Each city that elects to proceed under this section and uses the design-build method on a public works project shall submit to

SB 1431 — 18—

1 the Legislative Analyst's Office before December 1, 2009, a

- 2 report containing a description of each public works project
- 3 procured through the design-build process that is completed after
- 4 January 1, 2007, and before November 1, 2009. The report shall
- 5 include, but shall not be limited to, all of the following 6 information:
 - (1) The type of project.

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

- (2) The gross square footage of the project.
 - (3) The design-build entity that was awarded the project.
- (4) The estimated and actual project costs.
- (5) A description of any written protests concerning any aspect of the solicitation, bid, proposal, or award of the design-build project, including the resolution of the protests.
 - (6) An assessment of the prequalification process and criteria.
- (7) An assessment of the effect of retaining 5 percent retention on the project.
- (8) A description of the Labor Force Compliance Program and an assessment of the project impact, where required.
- (9) A description of the method used to award the contract. If the best value method was used, the report shall describe the factors used to evaluate the bid, including the weighting of each factor and an assessment of the effectiveness of the methodology.
- (10) An assessment of the project impact of "skilled labor force availability."
- (11) An assessment of the most appropriate uses for the design-build approach.
- (m) Any city that elects not to use the authority granted by this section may submit a report to the Legislative Analyst's Office explaining why the city elected not to use the design-build method.
- (n) On or before January 1, 2010, the Legislative Analyst's Office shall report to the Legislature on the use of the design-build method by cities pursuant to this section, including the information listed in subdivision (*l*). The report may include recommendations for modifying or extending this section.
- (o) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2017, and of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2017, deletes or extends that date.

-19 - SB 1431

SEC. 3. Article 5.5 (commencing with Section 20193) is added to Chapter 1 of Part 3 of Division 2 of the Public Contract Code, to read:

Article 5.5. Special Districts

- 20193. (a) (1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a special district, with approval of its governing body, may utilize an alternative procedure on bidding on projects in the special district in excess of two million five hundred thousand dollars (\$2,500,000).
- (2) A special district may award a project, pursuant to this section, using either the lowest responsible bidder or by best value.
- (3) For purposes of this article, "special district" means a special district as defined in subdivision (d) of Section 16271 of the Government Code.
- (b) (1) It is the intent of the Legislature to enable special districts to utilize cost-effective options for building and modernizing public facilities. It is not the intent of the Legislature to authorize this procedure in transportation facilities, including for streets, roads, and bridges.
- (2) The Legislature also finds and declares that utilizing a design-build contract requires a clear understanding of the roles and responsibilities of each participant in the design-build process. The Legislature also finds that the cost-effective benefits to special districts are achieved by shifting the liability and risk for cost containment and project completion to the design-build entity.
- (3) It is the intent of the Legislature to provide an alternative and optional procedure for bidding and building construction projects for special districts.
- (4) The design-build approach may be used, but is not limited to use, when it is anticipated that it will: reduce project cost, expedite project completion, or provide design features not achievable through the design-bid-build method.
- (5) If a special district elects to proceed under this section, the special district shall establish and enforce for design-build projects a labor compliance program containing the requirements outlined in Section 1771.5 of the Labor Code, or it shall contract

SB 1431 — 20 —

with a third party to operate a labor compliance program containing the requirements outlined in Section 1771.5 of the Labor Code. This requirement shall not apply to any project where the special district or the design-build entity has entered into any collective bargaining agreement or agreements that bind all of the contractors performing work on the projects.

(c) As used in this section:

- (1) "Best value" means a value determined by objective criteria related to price, features, functions, small business contracting plans, past performance, and life cycle costs.
- (2) "Design-build" means a procurement process in which both the design and construction of a project are procured from a single entity.
- (3) "Design-build entity" means a partnership, corporation, or other legal entity that is able to provide appropriately licensed contracting, architectural, and engineering services as needed pursuant to a design-build contract.
- (4) "Project" means the construction of public improvements, except for streets, roads, and bridges.
- (d) Design-build projects shall progress in a four-step process, as follows:
- (1) (A) The special district shall prepare a set of documents setting forth the scope of the project. The documents may include, but are not limited to, the size, type, and desired design character of the project and site, performance specifications covering the quality of materials, equipment, and workmanship, preliminary plans or project layouts, or any other information deemed necessary to describe adequately the special district's needs. The performance specifications and any plans shall be prepared by a design professional who is duly licensed and registered in California.
- (B) Any architect or engineer retained by the special district to assist in the development of the project specific documents shall not be eligible to participate in the preparation of a bid with any design-build entity for that project.
- (2) (A) Based on the documents prepared in paragraph (1), the special district shall prepare a request for proposals that invites interested parties to submit competitive sealed proposals in the manner prescribed by the special district. The request for

— 21 — SB 1431

proposals shall include, but is not limited to, the following elements:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

- (i) Identification of the basic scope and needs of the project or contract, the expected cost range, and other information deemed necessary by the special district to inform interested parties of the contracting opportunity, to include the methodology that will be used by the district to evaluate proposals and specifically if the contract will be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder.
- (ii) Significant factors which the special district reasonably expects to consider in evaluating proposals, including cost or price and all nonprice related factors.
- (iii) The relative importance of weight assigned to each of the factors identified in the request for proposals.
- (B) With respect to clause (iii) of subparagraph (A), if a nonweighted system is used, the special district shall specifically disclose whether all evaluation factors other than cost or price when combined are:
 - (i) Significantly more important than cost or price.
 - (ii) Approximately equal in importance to cost or price.
 - (iii) Significantly less important than cost or price.
- (C) If the special district chooses to reserve the right to hold discussions or negotiations with responsive bidders, it shall so specify in the request for proposal and shall publish separately or incorporate into the request for proposal applicable rules and procedures to be observed by the special district to ensure that any discussions or negotiations are conducted in good faith.
- (3) (A) The special district shall establish a procedure to prequalify design-build entities using a standard questionnaire developed by the special district or a questionnaire developed by the Department of Industrial Relations. In preparing its own questionnaire, the special district shall consult with the construction industry, including representatives of the building trades and surety industry. This questionnaire shall require information including, but not limited to, all of the following:
- (i) If the design-build entity is a partnership, limited partnership, or other association, a listing of all of the partners, general partners, or association members known at the time of bid submission who will participate in the design-build contract,

SB 1431 -22-

(ii) Evidence that the members of the design-build entity have completed, or demonstrated the experience, competency, capability, and capacity to complete projects of similar size, scope, or complexity, and that proposed key personnel have sufficient experience and training to competently manage and complete the design and construction of the project, as well as a financial statement that assures the special district that the design-build entity has the capacity to complete the project.

- (iii) The licenses, registration, and credentials required to design and construct the project, including information on the revocation or suspension of any license, credential, or registration.
- (iv) Evidence that establishes that the design-build entity has the capacity to obtain all required payment and performance bonding, liability insurance, and errors and omissions insurance.
- (v) Any prior serious or willful violation of the California Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1973, contained in Part 1 (commencing with Section 6300) of Division 5 of the Labor Code or the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (Public Law 91-596), settled against any member of the design-build entity, and information concerning workers' compensation experience history and worker safety program.
- (vi) Information concerning any debarment, disqualification, or removal from a federal, state, or local government public works project. Any instance where an entity, its owners, officers, or managing employees submitted a bid on a public works project and were found to be nonresponsive, or were found by an awarding body not to be a responsible bidder.
- (vii) Any instance where the entity, its owner, officers, or managing employees defaulted on a construction contract.
- (viii) Any violations of the Contractors' State License Law (Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 7000) of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code), excluding alleged violations of federal or state law including the payment of wages, benefits, apprenticeship requirements, or personal income tax withholding, or of Federal Insurance Contribution Act (FICA) withholding requirements settled against any member of the design-build entity.

SB 1431

(ix) Information concerning the bankruptcy or receivership of any member of the design-build entity, including information concerning any work completed by a surety.

- (x) Information concerning all settled adverse claims, disputes, or lawsuits between the owner of a public works project and any member of the design-build entity during the five years preceding submission of a bid pursuant to this section, in which the claim, settlement, or judgment exceeds fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000). Information shall also be provided concerning any work completed by a surety during this period.
- (xi) In the case of a partnership or other association, that is not a legal entity, a copy of the agreement creating the partnership or association and specifying that all partners or association members agree to be fully liable for the performance under the design-build contract.
- (B) The information required pursuant to this subdivision shall be verified under oath by the entity and its members in the manner in which civil pleadings in civil actions are verified. Information that is not a public record pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Chapter 3.5, Division 7, Title 1 of the Government Code) shall not be open to public inspection.
- (4) The special district shall establish a procedure for final selection of the design-build entity. Selection shall be based on either of the following criteria:
- (A) A competitive bidding process resulting in lump-sum bids by the prequalified design-build entities. Awards shall be made to the lowest responsible bidder.
- (B) A special district may use a design-build competition based upon best value and other criteria set forth in paragraph (2) of subdivision (d). The design-build competition shall include the following elements:
- (i) Competitive proposals shall be evaluated by using only the criteria and selection procedures specifically identified in the request for proposal. However, the following minimum factors shall each represent at least 10 percent of the total weight of consideration given to all criteria factors; price, technical design and construction expertise, life cycle costs over 15 years or more, skilled labor force availability, and acceptable safety record.

SB 1431 — 24—

(ii) Once the evaluation is complete, the top three responsive bidders shall be ranked sequentially from the most advantageous to the least.

- (iii) The award of the contract shall be made to the responsible bidder whose proposal is determined, in writing, to be the most advantageous.
- (iv) Notwithstanding any provision of this code, upon issuance of a contract award, the special district shall publicly announce its award, identifying the contractor to whom the award is made, along with a written decision supporting its contract award and stating the basis of the award. The notice of award shall also include the district's second and third ranked design-build entities.
- (v) For the purposes of this paragraph, "skilled labor force availability" shall be determined by the existence of an agreement with a registered apprenticeship program, approved by the California Apprenticeship Council, which has graduated apprentices in each of the preceding five years. This graduation requirement shall not apply to programs providing apprenticeship training for any craft that has been deemed by the Department of Labor and the Department of Industrial Relations to be an apprenticeable craft in the five years prior to enactment of this act.
- (vi) For the purposes of this paragraph, a bidder's "safety record" shall be deemed "acceptable" if their experience modification rate for the most recent three-year period is an average of 1.00 or less, and their average Total Recordable Injury/Illness rate and average lost work rate for the most recent three-year period does not exceed the applicable statistical standards for its business category, or if the bidder is a party to an alternative dispute resolution system as provided for in Section 3201.5 of the Labor Code.
- (e) (1) Any design-build entity that is selected to design and build a project pursuant to this section shall possess or obtain sufficient bonding to cover the contract amount for nondesign services, and errors and omission insurance coverage sufficient to cover all design and architectural services provided in the contract. This section does not prohibit a general or engineering contractor from being designated the lead entity on a

__ 25 __ SB 1431

design-build entity for the purposes of purchasing necessary bonding to cover the activities of the design-build entity.

- (2) Any payment or performance bond written for the purposes of this section shall be written using a bond form developed by the special district.
- (f) All subcontractors that were not listed by the design-build entity in accordance with clause (i) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (d) shall be awarded by the design-build entity in accordance with the design-build process set forth by the special district in the design-build package. All subcontractors bidding on contracts pursuant to this section shall be afforded the protections contained in Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 4100) of Part 1. The design-build entity shall do both of the following:
- (1) Provide public notice of the availability of work to be subcontracted in accordance with the publication requirements applicable to the competitive bidding process of the special district.
- (2) Provide a fixed date and time on which the subcontracted work will be awarded in accordance with the procedure established pursuant to this section.
- (g) The minimum performance criteria and design standards established pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) shall be adhered to by the design-build entity. Any deviations from those standards may only be allowed by written consent of the special district.
- (h) The special district may retain the services of a design professional or construction project manager, or both, throughout the course of the project in order to ensure compliance with this section.
- (i) Contracts awarded pursuant to this section shall be valid until the project is completed.
- (j) Nothing in this section is intended to affect, expand, alter, or limit any rights or remedies otherwise available at law.
- (k) (1) If the special district elects to award a project pursuant to this section, retention proceeds withheld by the special district from the design-build entity shall not exceed 5 percent if a performance and payment bond, issued by an admitted surety insurer, is required in the solicitation of bids.

SB 1431 -26-

(2) In a contract between the design-build entity and the subcontractor, and in a contract between a subcontractor and any subcontractor thereunder, the percentage of the retention proceeds withheld may not exceed the percentage specified in the contract between the special district and the design-build entity. If the design-build entity provides written notice to any subcontractor who is not a member of the design-build entity, prior to or at the time the bid is requested, that a bond may be required and the subcontractor subsequently is unable or refuses to furnish a bond to the design-build entity, then the design-build entity may withhold retention proceeds in excess of the percentage specified in the contract between the special district and the design-build entity from any payment made by the design-build entity to the subcontractor.

- (*l*) Each special district that elects to proceed under this section and uses the design-build method on a public works project shall submit to the Legislative Analyst's Office before December 1, 2009, a report containing a description of each public works project procured through the design-build process and completed after January 1, 2007, and before November 1, 2009. The report shall include, but shall not be limited to, all of the following information:
 - (1) The type of project.
 - (2) The gross square footage of the project.
 - (3) The design-build entity that was awarded the project.
- (4) The estimated and actual length of time to complete the project.
 - (5) The estimated and actual project costs.
- (6) A description of any written protests concerning any aspect of the solicitation, bid, proposal, or award of the design-build project, including the resolution of the protests.
 - (7) An assessment of the prequalification process and criteria.
- (8) An assessment of the effect of retaining—5-percent 5 percent retention on the project.
- (9) A description of the Labor Force Compliance Program and an assessment of the project impact, where required.
- (10) A description of the method used to award the contract. If best value was the method, the report shall describe the factors used to evaluate the bid, including the weighting of each factor and an assessment of the effectiveness of the methodology.

SB 1431

(11) An assessment of the project impact of "skilled labor force availability."

(12) An assessment of the design-build dollar limits on special district projects.

This projects. This assessment shall include projects where the special district wanted to use design-build and was precluded by the dollar limitation. This assessment shall also include projects where the best value method was not used due to dollar limitations.

- (13) An assessment of the most appropriate uses for the design-build approach.
- (m) Any special district that elects not to use the authority granted by this section may submit a report to the Legislative Analyst's Office explaining why the special district elected to not use the design-build method.
- (n) On or before January 1, 2010, the Legislative Analyst shall report to the Legislature on the use of the design-build method by special districts pursuant to this section, including the information listed in subdivision (*l*). The report may include recommendations for modifying or extending this section.
- (o) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2017, and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2017, deletes or extends that date.
- SEC. 4. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution because the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school district will be incurred because this act creates a new crime or infraction, eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution.

AMENDED IN SENATE MAY 2, 2006 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 18, 2006 AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 28, 2006

SENATE BILL

No. 1812

Introduced by Senator Runner

February 24, 2006

An act to add and repeal Section 820.1 of the Streets and Highways Code, relating to transportation.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1812, as amended, Runner. Department of Transportation: surface transportation project delivery pilot program.

Existing law gives the Department of Transportation full possession and control of state highways and associated property. Existing federal law requires the United States Secretary of Transportation to carry out a surface transportation project delivery pilot program, as specified. The secretary is authorized to permit up to 5 states, including California, to participate in the program and California has agreed to that participation.

This bill would, until January 1, 2009, authorize the Director of Transportation to consent provide that the State of California consents to the jurisdiction of the state and federal courts with regard to the compliance, discharge, or enforcement of the responsibilities assumed pursuant to the surface transportation project delivery pilot program, and would make related provisions. The bill would require the department to submit a specified report to the Legislature by January 1, 2008, relating to the surface transportation project delivery pilot program.

SB 1812 -2-

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 820.1 is added to the Streets and 2 Highways Code, to read:

- 820.1. (a) The director is authorized to consent to the jurisdiction of the State of California consents to the jurisdiction of the state and federal courts with regard to the compliance, discharge, or enforcement of the responsibilities assumed by the department pursuant to Section 326 of, and subsection (a) of Section 327 of, Title 23 of the United States Code.
- (b) Consent to the jurisdiction of the federal courts pursuant to subdivision (a) shall constitute a waiver of the state's Eleventh Amendment protection against lawsuits brought in federal court.
- (b) In any action brought pursuant to the federal laws described in subdivision (a), no immunity from suit may be asserted by the department pursuant to the Eleventh Amendment to the United States Constitution, and any immunity is hereby waived.
- (c) The department shall not delegate any of its responsibilities assumed pursuant to the federal laws describe in subdivision (a) to any political subdivision of the state or its instrumentalities.

21 (e

- (d) The department shall, no later than January 1, 2008, submit a report to the Legislature that includes the following:
- (1) A comparative analysis of the environmental review process under the National Environmental Policy Act for the 30 projects, excluding those projects categorically excluded from environmental review, undertaken immediately preceding the enactment of this section that involved the Federal Highway Administration and the environmental review process for all projects undertaken following the enactment of this section that did not involve the Federal Highway Administration. This analysis should address the following:
- 33 (A) For each project included in the analysis, the 34 environmental review process under the National Environmental 35 Policy Act, including which state and federal agencies reviewed

3 SB 1812

the environmental documents and the amount of time the documents were reviewed by each agency, shall be described.

- (B) The points in the environmental review process under the National Environmental Policy Act when project delays occurred and the nature of the delays.
- (C) The time saved in the environmental review process for projects undertaken following the enactment of this section in comparison to the review process for projects undertaken prior to the enactment of this section. The points in the review process when time was saved.
- (D) The circumstances when the Federal Highway Administration hindered and facilitated project delivery.
- (2) All financial costs incurred by the department to assume the responsibilities pursuant to Section 326 of, and subsection (a) of Section 327 of, Title 23 of the United States Code, including, but not limited to the following:
- 17 (A) Personnel to conduct and review environmental documents and to manage litigation.
 - (B) Administrative costs.
 - (C) Litigation.
 - (3) An explanation of all litigation initiated against the department for the responsibilities assumed pursuant to Section 326 of, and subsection (a) of Section 327 of, Title 23 of the United States Code.
 - (4) A comparison of all costs and benefits of assuming these responsibilities.

(d)

1 2

(e) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2009, and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2009, deletes or extends that date. However, any waiver pursuant to subdivision (b) shall remain in effect for any responsibility carried out by the state prior to the repeal of this section under this subdivision. The state shall remain liable for any decisions made or responsibilities assumed and exercised, prior to the repeal of this section under this subdivision, pursuant to applicable federal statutes of limitation for filing citizens' suits in federal court.